

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,098	12/21/2005	George Henry Platt Brown	UDL1P017/RMV/jwa/P40071	5U 9493
	7590 06/29/2007		EXAM	IINER
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120		•	NGUYEN, CHAU N	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95172-1120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
				r
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•				0		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/522,098	BROWN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chau N. Nguyen	2831			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Apr	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
•—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>28-32,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>33-39,42 and 43</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/522,098

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 28-32, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bottoms, Jr. et al. (5,274,725) in view of Szum (6,240,230).

Art Unit: 2831

Bottoms, Jr. et al. (Figures 1-3) discloses a signal transmitting cable comprising a first signal transmitting portion including a plurality of elongate, flexible first signal transmitting members (23), wherein the first signal transmitting members of the first signal transmitting portion are surrounded by a first layer of resin material (22) such that only the outermost signal transmitting members are in contact with said first layer (col. 4, lines 25-29 and col. 10, lines 30-40), and said first signal transmitting members are arranged to form at least three rows, wherein for each said row containing a plurality of said members, said members are arranged such that neighbouring members of said row are in touching contact with each other, each recess formed by neighbouring members of a first said row facing towards a second said row accommodates a respective member of said second row, and said first layer is in touching contact with substantially all of the outward facing surface of the first signal transmitting portion (re claim 28). Bottoms, Jr. et al. also discloses an outermost layer having an inner periphery longer than the outer periphery of the layer adjacent thereto to enable removal of the outermost layer from the cable (re claim 40). Bottoms, Jr. et al. does not disclose the first layer of resin material curable by means of radiation or cured by means of ultraviolet radiation (re claims 28 and 32). Szum discloses an ultraviolet radiation curable composition which is used for protecting and/or bonding optical fibers

Application/Control Number: 10/522,098 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

within an optical fiber cable and which has sufficient no-yellowing together with sufficient cure speed. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the material as taught by Szum for the first layer material of Bottoms, Jr. et al. since the material taught by Szum is used for protecting and/or bonding optical fibers within an optical fiber cable and has sufficient no-yellowing together with sufficient cure speed. Claim 41 is a method counterpart of claim 28.

Re claims 29-31, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the first transmitting portion of Bottoms, Jr. et al. with 12, 18 or 24 transmitting members arranged in 4 or 5 rows having 2, 3, 4 & 3, or 2, 4, 5, 4 & 3, or 4, 5, 6, 5 & 4 configuration to increase the transmission capacity of the cable since it has been held that merely duplicating the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 33-39, 42 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2831

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28 and 40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,098 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2831

Charlgryn